

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/749,938 | 12/31/2003 | Michael Swafford | 50037.0216US01 | 6670 | |
| 27488 7590 07/26/2007 MERCHANT & GOULD (MICROSOFT) P.O. BOX 2903 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | BATAILLE, PIERRE MICHE | | |
| MINNEAPOL | IS, MN 55402-0903 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| • | | | 2186 | | |
| • | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| • | | | 07/26/2007 | PAPER ' | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Interview Summary | |
|-------------------|--|
|-------------------|--|

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/749,938 | SWAFFORD ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Pierre-Michel Bataille | 2186 | | |

| iiileiview Suiiiilaiy | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| monton cumunally | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Pierre-Michel Bataille | 2186 | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | |
| (1) <u>Pierre-Michel Bataille</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Franck Bozzo (Reg. 36,756)</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>11 July 2007</u> . | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | 2)⊡ applicant's representative | e] | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-9,11-19 and 21-29</u> . | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 2004/0221120 (Abr</u> | ashkevich); US 7,158,991 (Ke | ekre et al). | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g | ı)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N | I/A. | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed proposed ame</u> would overcome the art of record. The examiner agreed to the response to the outstanding Office Action. | endment. It appears that the a | mendment, if pre | esented, |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached | opy of the amendments that w | reed would rende ould render the | er the claims claims |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A | | | |

INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICA GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required